

For Additional & Updated Information about BMPs for Storm Water Phase II
Visit: <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/menuofbmps/index.cfm>

Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control

Vegetated Buffer

Description

Vegetated buffers are areas of either natural or established vegetation that are maintained to protect the water quality of neighboring areas. Buffer zones reduce the velocity of storm water runoff, provide an area for the runoff to permeate the soil, contribute to ground water recharge, and act as filters to catch sediment. The reduction in velocity also helps to prevent soil erosion.

Applicability

Vegetated buffers can be used in any area that is able to support vegetation but they are most effective and beneficial on floodplains, near wetlands, along streambanks, and on steep, unstable slopes. They are also effective in separating land use areas that are not compatible and in protecting wetlands or waterbodies by displacing activities that might be potential sources of nonpoint source pollution.



Buffers at the perimeters of construction sites are similar to agricultural buffers in that they trap sediments and remove pollutants in runoff from exposed areas (Source: Nova Scotia Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, 2000)

Siting and Design Considerations

To establish an effective vegetative buffer, the following guidelines should be followed:

- Soils should not be compacted.
- Slopes should be less than 5 percent.
- Buffer widths should be determined after careful consideration of slope, vegetation, soils, depth to impermeable layers, runoff sediment characteristics, type and quantity of storm water pollutants, and annual rainfall.
- Buffer widths should increase as slope increases.
- Zones of vegetation (native vegetation in particular), including grasses, deciduous and evergreen shrubs, and understory and overstory trees, should be intermixed.
- In areas where flows are concentrated and velocities are high, buffer zones should be combined with other structural or nonstructural BMPs as a pretreatment.

Limitations

Vegetated buffers require plant growth before they can be effective, and land on which to plant the vegetation must be available. If the cost of the land is very high, buffer zones might not be cost-effective. Although vegetated buffers help to protect water quality, they usually do not effectively counteract concentrated storm water flows to neighboring or downstream wetlands.

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency, March 2003



Dudley R. Hartel

A partnership between the Southern Research Station and the Southern Region

(706) 559-4236 (o) ☎ (706) 410-5568 (c)



Page:1 of 2

For Additional & Updated Information about BMPs for Storm Water Phase II
Visit: <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/menuofbmps/index.cfm>

Maintenance Considerations

Keeping vegetation healthy in vegetated buffers requires routine maintenance, which (depending on species, soil types, and climatic conditions) can include weed and pest control, mowing, fertilizing, liming, irrigating, and pruning. Inspection and maintenance are most important when buffer areas are first installed. Once established, vegetated buffers do not require much maintenance beyond the routine procedures listed earlier and periodic inspections of the areas, especially after any heavy rainfall and at least once a year. Inspections should focus on encroachment, gully erosion, density of vegetation, evidence of concentrated flows through the areas, and any damage from foot or vehicular traffic. If there is more than 6 inches of sediment in one place, it should be removed.

Effectiveness

Several researchers have measured greater than 90 percent reductions in sediment and nitrate concentrations. Buffer/filter strips do a reasonably good job of removing phosphorus attached to sediment, but are relatively ineffective in removing dissolved phosphorus (Gilliam, 1994).

References

- Gilliam, J.W. 1994. Riparian Wetlands and Water Quality. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 23:896-900. As cited in Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. 1998. *Guidebook of Best Management Practices for Michigan Watersheds*. Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Surface Water Quality Division, Lansing, MI.
- Nova Scotia Department of Agriculture and Fisheries. 2000. *Awareness and Communication Project Reports, Appendix E: Photographs*. [<http://www.gov.ns.ca/nsaf/home.htm>]. Last updated January 1997. Accessed January 2001.
- USEPA. 1992. *Storm Water Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices*. EPA 832-R-92-006. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC.
- USEPA. 1996. *Protecting Natural Wetlands: A Guide to Stormwater Best Management Practices*. EPA 843-B-96-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC.

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency, March 2003



A partnership between the Southern Research Station and the Southern Region

